

Emanuel School Community Consultative Committee

Meeting No: 7

Date: Wednesday December 8th 6 – 8pm

Venue: Zoom

Attendees	
<p>Community Members NFP Tara Roach Justine Hughes</p>	<p>Emanuel School Andrew Delany – Security Manager Derek Pal – Parent</p> <p>Sandrck Construction Warwick Smith – Project Manager Jonathan Lau</p> <p>Grindley Construction Asha Meller</p> <p>Independent Chair Professor Roberta Ryan</p> <p>Minute Taker Sophie Alais</p>
<p>Apologies Andrew Watt - Principal Michael Packman Nicole Birbas</p>	

Item	Description	Action
1	Welcome	
	RR welcomes everyone to the meeting.	
2	Apologies	
	AW, NB and MP are apologies. AM will represent MP at this meeting.	
3	Minutes from last meeting	
	No matters arising.	
4	Actions from last meeting	
	No Actions.	
5	Emanuel operational update	
	AD provides an operational update to the members. AD says it is the last week of school and the last day for students is tomorrow. On Friday, there is the staff farewell. It is a big 'wind down' day with staff moving offices. AD says the school will still have an operational team until December 17 th with	

<p>admin team and maintenance crew. After, this the school will be closed until 10th January, excluding AM and his team.</p> <p>RR asks AD how things are going with parking and traffic issues. RR says it is good for this CCC meeting to get into a routine with updating the group on hotspots, issues, solutions and so forth.</p> <p>AD says the school now employs a number of traffic wardens. AD says it is difficult to fill in every shift and there is a high turnover of wardens. AD says they have received a number of reports from TR and JH. All staff who have not followed the traffic and parking rules have been spoken to personally and have been followed up on. There are teething issues on Market St, but the traffic wardens Carolina and Winston are reporting back constantly and the same people are being noted as not following the rules. AD says Emanuel is trying to change the culture and to kick off 2022 off well.</p> <p>JH says that from a Market St perspective, there has definitely been an improvement. The traffic wardens are highly visible, proactive and say hello to residents regularly. JH says the issue has reduced significantly. JH notes that this term is not necessarily indicative of what it is like generally, so JH is keen to talk through these issues.</p> <p>NFP thanks everyone for working hard on this issue. NFP thanks AD in particular for his good work on the issue. NFP says there has been significant improvement. NFP notes that the traffic warden on the corner of Chepstow St is too static and could be more mobile. NFP says behaviour has improved and there seems to be greater respect.</p> <p>TR says that the teachers have been better regarding traffic and parking issues. TR admits that the times have changed and the congestion has happened when she is not around. The congestion used to happen when TR drove back home from picking up her own child from school. TR agrees with JH that the issues seem to have improved. TR asks how this can be maintained into the new year. TR does notice some parents still coming down Chepstow, so there is still some clash but now there are procedures to check up on this.</p> <p>AD informs TR that the time change is in fact an operational change in the OTMP. Preschool and primary pick-up is earlier, so that not all students are being picked up at the same time.</p> <p>TR says it has definitely improved and it would be better for the parents picking up their children as well.</p>	
--	--

	<p>RR asks DP if he has noticed a change in the pickups and drop offs.</p> <p>DP said he is unable to provide any feedback on improvements to pick ups and drop offs as his wife does the school drop off and pick up.</p> <p>RR asks about the 'plan of attack' coming into 2022, following on from JH's point.</p> <p>AD says that speaking verbatim from an earlier conversation with AW, the plan is to continue with the exact same plan. So, the information will not stop flowing to the parents, the school will be pressing the procedures, and the policy has been emailed out to all parents. Traffic wardens will be in place for 2022, though residents may see some fresh faces.</p> <p>RR says the aim is to also have more council presence next year. RR will ask council about more visibility for enforcement for the beginning of each term as an action.</p>	<p>RR to follow up with Randwick Council about improved traffic ranger visibility near Emanuel at the beginning of each term.</p>
6	OTMP	
	<p>JL updates the CCC on the OTMP. JL says the OTMP has been submitted to Randwick Council, but they have not heard anything back yet. JL has followed this up with John Flanigan. JL says there is not further update about where that is.</p> <p>JL says there is a number of items acting in the background such as speaking to the authority certifier, work on the construction certificate. The OTMP though needs to be signed off in conjunction with these items.</p> <p>JL says they are proposing to do electrical enabling works to allow power and data to be maintained throughout the school. Construction is not likely to commence until mid-January when all the documents have lined up. So, there will be no demolishing this Christmas.</p>	
7	Section 4.55 Determination of Y12 Parking	
	<p>JL updates the CCC on the section 4.55 regarding Year 12 parking. It is called a ~something~ approval. The decision was that the school can have up to 20 kids driving to school until 2026 and after that only 10 year 12s driving to school.</p> <p>JH asks how this decision can be practically managed? JH thinks that this plan does not make sense.</p>	

	<p>WS agrees with JH, the practicalities of it are difficult.</p> <p>AD, speaking on behalf of AW, says the plan going forward to manage this situation is to speak to the Year 12s and communicate with them about the cap on numbers. The school will collect data on those who do not drive. The school will also actively discourage driving. For those who do have to drive, the school will collect their details and monitor the situation. AD says this is the plan from an operational standpoint. AD said that the school is taking this on board.</p> <p>TR says that the Regional Planning Panel was trying to make a compromise. The concern was raised that up to 82 students could drive to school if they wanted to (even though this would be unlikely). However, rather than say no students can drive, they acknowledged that some students may need to drive for example those who live further away (over 10km). The reason why the cap is there is to accommodate those students. Some can drive while others should catch public transport if they can. It is important to understand the pressure that students and teachers parking put on the area. TR agrees it is not practical, but it does send a message to the students that they should consider the impact to residents and only drive where public transport is not available. TR says she gets angry when she hears comments about this restriction being unhelpful, shouldn't residents including herself be able to park outside or near their homes?.</p> <p>AD does agree with how TR has worded her response, and with JH's concerns about how the school can manage this situation. Emanuel team will put more effort into it over the school holidays. AD says that AW wanted to pass on the high-level overview of how this will be managed.</p>	
8	Construction programme	
	<p>RR asks what will be happening construction wise between now and January.</p> <p>AM says he is happy to answer that question. As JL said, temporary electrical and enabling works will occur in the new year before demolition can commence. This work will include investigation work, scanning, running cables, conduit, and trenching, all on the school grounds. Most of this work will be accessed with domestic style utes and all deliveries will be on Stanley St. There may be the odd larger delivery, though the majority will be small domestic style utes.</p> <p>RR asks if this will be until mid-January?</p>	

	<p>AM says this is correct, this work will run until mid-January. The aim is to complete the work before school begins again.</p> <p>WS asks AM when they will be working considering the upcoming holidays.</p> <p>AM says there will be no work over Christmas or the New Year, only in an emergency.</p> <p>AD informs the group that there is an NBN update over that week on Stanley St for a day or so on the week of December 13th. Just informing the CCC so everyone know that work is not Grindley.</p> <p>AM says thank you.</p> <p>RR asks about the contact details.</p> <p>AM says that there will be a sign on the fence with the site manager and MP's contact details.</p> <p>TR asks AM if Grindley will inform Stanley St about what works and when.</p> <p>AD says that Grindley will be using on-site parking.</p> <p>AM says the temporary works are not extensive. AM says they are minor works and do not count as construction work by any stretch. There will be no more than 4 – 6 people. AM says they can put together some sort of guide.</p> <p>TR asks if demolition will start in January?</p> <p>AM says at this stage, demolition is slated for late January. AM says that before Grindley starts the main work, they will certainly provide a letter drop. It is a fairly standard procedure and is part of the DA.</p> <p>RR says there is no requirement for minor works to provide the neighbours with notice. RR says these sorts of issues will definitely be a discussion to have in following meetings.</p> <p>RR asks what the catchment is for dilapidation reports.</p> <p>AM says this issue is progressing, currently in discussion with several structural engineers, approved for fee proposals and</p>	
--	--	--

	<p>advice and what the dilapidation surveys will look like. AM hopes to engage someone over the next couple of weeks. It is premature for this forum right now, but the issue is progressing in the background.</p> <p>RR says dilapidation is important for this forum.</p> <p>AM says regarding traffic, they are liaising with traffic engineers in the background.</p> <p>RR says the main thing will be truck movements, dilapidation, management of the team on site, and where they park their cars. RR says another thing from experience is it is important to tell workers not to smoke outside people's houses. This is always a work in progress.</p> <p>RR asks if there is anything else people anticipate to be an issue. What is the expected construction time period?</p> <p>AM says it is roughly 12 months.</p> <p>RR says that if AM has any more information, RR can pass this on to residents or CCC members.</p> <p>RR asks about the site manager.</p> <p>AM is still thinking of the best way to introduce the site manager and whether this should be online or in person.</p>	
9	AOB	
	No AOB.	
10	Next meeting	
	<p>JL says that the construction certificate is dependable on the OTMP so the timeline is hard to determine. To make the meeting worthwhile, JL suggests a meeting in early February. Notification will be issued with Grindley starts construction works so nothing will happen without the CCC members knowledge.</p> <p>The next meeting is set for Monday February 7th 2022.</p> <p>RR thanks everyone for participating in the CCC and wishes them all a wonderful festive season.</p>	