

Emanuel School Community Consultative Committee

Meeting No: 8

Date: Monday February 7th, 6pm – 7pm

Venue: Zoom

Attendees	
<p>Community members NFP Tara Roach Justine Hughes Nicole Birbas</p>	<p>Emanuel School Andrew Delany – Security Manager Derek Pal – Parent Andrew Watt – Principal</p> <p>Sandrick Construction Warwick Smith Jonathan Lau</p> <p>Grindley Construction Michael Packman – Construction manager</p> <p>Independent Chair Roberta Ryan</p> <p>Minute taker Georgia Peters</p>
<p>Apologies No apologies Andrew Watt is available for only part of the meeting</p>	

Item	Description	Action
1	Welcome	
	RR welcomes everyone to the meeting and introduces GP as the new minute taker.	
2	Current parking – beginning of year	
	NFP says the new system is working well and that most people are compliant, however she requests a proactive traffic warden in the afternoon at the bottom of Chepstow St to move on people who use the area for pick-up rather than doing the pick-up loop. NFP says that some parents are picking up their children at the bottom of Chepstow St and doing maneuvers they are not supposed to do such as U-turns.	

	<p>AD says that, as of Thursday last week, they have a traffic warden in the afternoon. His name is Phillip and is currently employed on a trial basis. He can distribute written notices to parents who are doing the wrong thing.</p> <p>NFP says that he wasn't there today and asks if he could be there consistently.</p> <p>AD says that he couldn't be there today. Because he is the only one they have for the role, AD says that there will unfortunately be absences on occasions.</p> <p>JH says that she has noticed improvements with the new system. She says that there is availability of parking in parked timing spots in her street and that the warden is quite proactive at the end of the street.</p> <p>NB agrees that the new system is going well.</p> <p>TR says that things are improving however there were people today who were double parked because of the absence of the warden.</p> <p>TR notes that some children and parents walk on the road instead of the footpath which is dangerous for drivers who need to swerve to get around them. TR asks that parents are reminded to use the footpath.</p> <p>TR says there is no parking spots available on Chepstow St and Steven St. TR has observed teachers waiting on these streets in the morning waiting for residents to leave so they can park. TR emphasizes parking as an ongoing issue.</p> <p>AD thanks everyone for their feedback and says he will pass it on.</p>	
3	Project issues	
3.1	Status of OTMP - JL	
	<p>JL and WS update that they are currently completing the enabling works and pre-construction activities. They expect that construction will commence next week after they receive a construction certificate.</p> <p>TR asks for clarification regarding when the construction certificate is issued. Is this next week? TR asks if Council has provided feedback at this point.</p>	

JL says that John Flanagan from Council provided feedback and requested a change to student parking. This change had to be made to reflect the approved number of students driving.

JL says they have completed a Road Safety Audit (RSA) which has been included in the OTMP.

The RSA found the need to address signage. For instance, traffic related signs such as stop signs around the school have been flagged to Council.

TR asks if the RSA includes a traffic audit?

JL and WS say that the RSA is principally concerned with covered and obscured signs by trees, issues with refuge islands and road markings.

TR asks when this will be shared with the group.

JL and WS say that they will share the RSA after this updated version has been approved by the Council.

TR asks for the Green Travel Plan and RSA.

TR says that she thought there would be an undertaking to improve parking numbers since the existing plan does not offset the excess staff numbers.

JL and WS say that the S4.55 reduces the number of students driving, with a target to reduce this number by 10 in 5 years. They indicate that this is reflected in the latest OTMP.

TR says that this strategy needs to better address staff driving, given that they are currently 9 staff over the approved Council cap. She reiterates that the reduction in student driving will not offset this number because of current excess staff. Essentially, there will be no traffic reduction in five years, she says. TR expected a reduction in staff driving numbers to be addressed in the Green Travel Plan. She notes that AW stated when Council attended the CCC, that more needed to be done to address teachers parking, but there is no change to the GTP targets.

AW acknowledges this concern and says that the school is encouraging carpooling and the use of public transport. He says that the state of the traffic may improve after COVID since the current health messaging discourages rideshares with multiple

<p>people. AW says he is exploring alternative options with parking nearby that they can purchase. He says that the school's commitment is not forgotten and adds that there a school requires a minimum number of staff to function.</p> <p>TR says that schools do function with less staff than Emanuel which has a ratio of 1 staff to 7 students, and that the number of staff employed at the school is a choice to deliver a certain level of education rather than a requirement to function.</p> <p>RR says that she hears the concern about the number of people parking around the school and says that it is beyond the purpose of this conversation to question how the school is run. RR asks for the Green Travel Plan and RSA.</p> <p>JL says that the OTMP is a live document which is constantly changing with factors such as COVID and school demands.</p> <p>JL shares the findings of the RSA by sharing his screen. He covers the issues that relate to the school and neighboring streets, which are the responsibility of the Council and out of the control of the school.</p> <p>NFP asks for further clarification on what the Audit says about Chepstow St. JL reads this section of the report to the group. JL says that the issues identified on Chepstow St are subject to the Go with the Flow strategy.</p> <p>NFP asks what this strategy involves.</p> <p>AD says that the Go with the Flow plan involves redirecting traffic by asking parents and visitors to use alternative streets to Chepstow St. Documentation advises parents to avoid Chepstow St.</p> <p>NFP asks about the parents who go down Chepstow St and then turn using the pre-school's carpark. NFP asks how this is going to be monitored.</p> <p>AD says that this will be monitored by the traffic warden.</p> <p>NFP says that, even with the presence of the warden, it is still happening.</p> <p>AD says that he doesn't believe it is happening to the same extent as it previously was. AD says that it is a work-in-progress but that he has personally noticed an improvement.</p>	<p>JL and WS to share the RSA and Green Travel Plan with the group.</p>
--	---

	<p>AD clarifies that the Western footpath on Avoca St is a Council issue.</p> <p>AD says that the warden on the Wombat crossing on Avoca St works for RMS and is independent from the school. He says that when the Audit was done, they weren't there.</p> <p>RR thanks JL and says that people can provide further feedback on the RSA after they receive it via email.</p> <p>JL says that they are happy to raise any issues that arise from this and later discussions to the Council.</p>	
3.2	Status update on construction works program – JL & Grindley	
	<p>MP provides an update. They have just completed the enabling work and are currently waiting for a couple of conditions to be satisfied before commencing with the demolition work. This work is forecasted to occur mid-next week. The forecasted completion date is at the end of the year.</p> <p>MP asks the group if there is anything in particular, they want to hear from him.</p> <p>JL asks what the intended hours of work are.</p> <p>MP says that they have received approval for the following times:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Monday to Friday: 7am-5pm - Saturday: 8am-5m. <p>MP says that the program will minimize the amount of work done on Saturdays. He indicates that work will only occur on Saturdays if the project is falling behind schedule.</p> <p>MP says that will be working closely with the school and in line with the Go with the Flow program.</p> <p>MP further says that vehicle movements will primarily be undertaken from 9am-3pm during the week.</p> <p>RR indicates that people will be interested in how the traffic will be managed with this construction.</p> <p>TR asks what streets the work zone is going along. Is it just Stanley St?</p>	

	MP confirms that the work zone is on Stanley St.	
3.3	Dilapidation Surveys - Grindley	
	<p>MP says they undertook a dilapidation report with reference to adjacent properties.</p> <p>MP says that a structural engineer did a Geotech report which looked at soil condition among other things. The dilapidation report finds that Council assets would be impacted - not private properties. The work is being done on sand which means that vibrations will not be felt for those more than 15m in proximity.</p> <p>MP says that they will be following the constraints on the vibrational limits identified in the above report.</p>	
3.4	S4.55 to change Road Safety Evaluation to Road Safety Audit - JL	
	JL says that there is not much to update the group on.	
3.5	Randwick Council proposed upgrade works	
	<p>JL and WS had a meeting booked with the Council last week which was cancelled.</p> <p>JL discusses the Council proposal to upgrade Chepstow St and Stanley St with an island to prevent people from queueing up.</p> <p>JL says that the Council has proposed this idea to the school at this time to ascertain any timing clashes. The assumption is that Council will notify neighbours and community members following further planning and discussion.</p> <p>AD clarifies that the above is not a school initiative and says that the Council called the school in November of 2021 to advise the school of their plans since they were aware that the school was working in the same area.</p> <p>AD says that no formal meetings with the Council have taken place and that at this stage all correspondence has occurred over the phone to assess potential clashes.</p> <p>NFP asks if Council developments are occurring on the Western or Eastern side of Chepstow St.</p> <p>AD says it is happening on the Eastern.</p> <p>NFP asks if the construction would be on Stanley St.</p> <p>JL clarifies the location of this work with an image from the Go with the Flow queue.</p>	

	<p>JL says that traffic blisters are like speedbumps.</p> <p>RR says that Council will have to provide notices to neighbouring properties.</p> <p>TR says that this development likely stems from when the Member for Coogee a survey of the schools concerned traffic issues 18 months – 2 years ago. For instance, issues raised with Clovelly Rd saw a refuge strip developed.</p> <p>AD says that the school has a meeting with Council on Friday.</p> <p>RR asks the group for any comments.</p>	
4	Minutes and meetings in 2022	
	<p>The group agrees to meet once a month on Mondays at 6pm.</p> <p>TR expresses her disappointment with the outcome for parking on Chepstow St and Steven St. TR says that parking constraints due to teachers parking has been raised as an issue by a number of people and she does not believe it has been addressed adequately. She says there has been no attempt to alleviate the current parking issues.</p>	
5	Any other business	
	<p>RR asks MP about the contact information for the site manager working on the school.</p> <p>MP says he is the initial point of contact and can circulate contact information to the relevant parties. He says that they will erect a sign on the construction site which contains contact information.</p> <p>RR asks if there are any concerns about the impact that this construction will have on neighbours such as the presence of delivery trucks. RR says that it is important to communicate things that may happen such as issuing advanced warnings via email. RR asks if MP will develop an email list for notifying people of work.</p> <p>MP says that advanced notifications are part of their process for disruptive jobs or concrete pours. He says they do letter drops for that.</p> <p>MP says that he will consider an opt-in email notification system.</p>	<p>MP to circulate contact information to the group.</p>

	<p>TR asks how far the mail drop stretches.</p> <p>MP says that it encompasses Avoca, Wentworth, Chepstow, Steven and Stanley St. MP says that Monmouth St was not intended to be part of the first letter drop but that there are later instances where they are being impacted.</p> <p>TR asks that they consider including Monmouth St as it will likely be impacted by the traffic coming off nearby streets.</p> <p>MP says that he will add Monmouth St to the mail drop area.</p> <p>RR clarifies that this traffic disruption will have impacts on Monmouth St even though it is not directly impacted by construction.</p> <p>TR seeks assurance that wide trucks will not go down narrow streets. She asks that delivery people are made aware of the restrictions of certain streets.</p> <p>MP says that there is a vehicle movement plan which has been distributed to subcontractors and flags that there may be issues enforcing it.</p> <p>RR adds that other issues may include workers smoking on the side of the road.</p>	
6	Meeting close and thanks	
	<p>RR wraps up meeting and thanks everyone for their participation.</p> <p>Next meeting – 7 March 6pm.</p>	